Stable Root Coverage with a Dermal Allograft Enriched with rhPDGF-BB

With a coronally-advanced flap technique, treatment over a large area is possible in one procedure

Barry P. Levin, DMD

Treatment of gingival recession is not a new procedure for the periodontist. Methods of treatment have evolved significantly over the past 4 decades, however. Early modalities almost always involved graft-procurement from the palate. These procedures required two surgical sites and the morbidity associated with both areas. Though originally indicated for augmentation of keratinized gingiva, modifications of the free-gingival graft also allowed for root coverage. The morbidity associated with this technique resulted in the less-invasive subepithelial connective tissue graft. Langer and Calagna reported on the indications and procedural steps necessary for successful mucogingival enhancement with this technique.

One technique that does not require a secondary graft is the coronally-advanced flap technique. Allen and Miller demonstrated that this technique can achieve significant root coverage for areas of shallow recession. In the early 1990s, clinicians began experimenting with combining exogenous materials with coronally-advanced flaps to achieve root coverage. Guided-tissue regeneration (GTR), originally used for treatment of intrabony periodontal defects, proved a useful treatment in areas with existing keratinized mucosa.

Another alternative to autogenous soft tissue grafts is the use of dermal allografts. Techniques used to cover exposed root surfaces with these biomaterials have proven to be successful in certain applications. The advantages of these techniques include negating a second surgical site and the ability to treat large areas in one procedure, since limitations of autogenous tissue volume do not exist. The dermal allograft used in this case report (PerioDerm®, DENTSPLY Implants, www.dentsplyimplants.com) is processed differently than the most commonly used allograft, in that it is not soaked in antibiotics, allowing rapid revascularization. The incorporation of the graft within the surrounding soft tissues results in a more readily substituted graft, eliminating the foul smell commonly associated with exposed dermis, as experienced by the author.

Growth factors are frequently used in oral regenerative procedures. rhPDGF-BB has been shown to enhance periodontal regenerative and mucogingival surgery. The chemotactic and mitogenic properties of rhPDGF-BB recruit mesenchymal stem cells from the surrounding tissues and encourage their proliferation at the site of desired tissue regeneration. The author has found that a prerequisite for the successful use of any growth factor is their effective delivery and timed release. This is accomplished with a matrix capable of carrying them to the site. Collagen is one of the most frequently used matrices for several growth factors.

Accelaral dermal allografts are mainly composed of collagen. These materials can augment soft tissue thickness and are not likely to elicit a local or systemic immune response. The combination of rhPDGF-BB and dermal allografts provides a bioactive matrix for corrective mucogingival surgery.

**FIG. 1**

Preoperative view showing significant gingival recession on teeth No. 8 through No. 14.
smear layer created by instrumentation, permitting open dentinal tubules to contact the overlying graft material.

A dermal allograft (PerioDerm) with a thickness of 0.4- to 0.8-mm was hydrated with 0.3% rhPDGF-BB (GEM 21S, Osteohealth, www.ostehealth.com) for approximately 20 minutes (Figure 2). The graft was trimmed to fit the exposed surgical site at the level of the CEJ of the treated teeth. It was sutured to the interproximal papillae with resorbable sutures (5-0 Monocryl, Ethicon, www.ethicon.com) (Figure 3). The overlying flap was positioned coronal to the CEJs to completely cover the growth factor–enhanced dermal allograft with sling sutures (Figure 4). Light pressure was applied for approximately 3 minutes to minimize the thickness of the blood clot between the graft and the roots as well as between the flap and the graft. A periodontal dressing (CoePak™, GC America, www.gcamerica.com) was applied.

The patient was prescribed amoxicillin for 7 days, a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (etodolac) for 3 days to minimize inflammation, and a chlorhexidine mouth rinse. Sutures were removed, where loose, at 11 days postoperatively (Figure 5). The patient avoided manual brushing and continued chlorhexidine rinses for 2 additional weeks. At that point, manual brushing with an extra-soft toothbrush using the roll technique was used for a month.

The patient was seen for maintenance every 3 months, resuming his alternating routine between periodontal and restorative offices. Photographs documenting the healing process were taken over the next year and a half. At 6 months after surgery, esthetically pleasing and significant root coverage was documented (Figure 6). At approximately 18 months, all treated teeth demonstrated between approximately 80% to 100% root coverage and a healthy band of keratinized gingiva (Figures 7 and Figure 8). The patient reported to be very pleased with the outcome of the surgical therapy and remains on quarterly maintenance.

Discussion

The use of growth factors to improve the outcomes of surgical procedures in medicine and dentistry is common. In periodontal surgery, rhPDGF-BB has been combined with bone allografts and allografts to enhance results in regenerative surgery.2,8

Mucogingival surgery may also benefit from the use of rhPDGF-BB. In a case series, Rubins showed excellent results when a subepithelial connective tissue graft was hydrated with rhPDGF-BB for at least 15 minutes before placement. Their series did not compare the classic procedure without adjunctive growth factors to their technique, so no conclusion can be made regarding how rhPDGF-BB enhanced the outcomes. Singh and Suresh compared a rhPDGF-BB–enriched GTR procedure to GTR alone in the treatment of gingival recession.10 They found significantly greater root coverage at 6 months in the group receiving the combination therapy to GTR alone. This same approach of rhPDGF-BB with beta-TCP plus GTR was tested as an alternative to subepithelial, connective tissue grafts in a comparative case series.11 The authors found comparable results and suggested this growth factor–enhanced procedure as an alternative to autogenous connective tissue graft harvesting for root coverage.
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(5.) Loose sutures were removed 11 days postoperatively. (6.) Esthetic, significant root coverage at 6-month follow-up. (7. AND 8.) At 18-month follow-up, all treated teeth demonstrated 80% to 100% root coverage and a healthy band of keratinized gingiva.